

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,054	01/15/2002	Etienne Georges Maze	003744-02	2937
75	90 06/03/2003			
Brenda L. Havel			EXAMINER	
Metal Coatings 275 Industrial P	International Inc. arkway		LAVILLA, MICI	ICHAEL E
Chardon, OH 44024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	/
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/045,054 Applicant(s)

MAZE ET AL.

Office Action Summary Examiner

LA VILLA

Art Unit 1775

The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	<del></del>			
	.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication.	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio	d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statentials and reply received by the Office later than three months after the main</li> </ul>	rute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Iling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•			
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢	This action is non-final.			
	owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-71</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-71</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required	I in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the Internation	oriority documents have been received in this National Stage and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for (	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has been received.			
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for (	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1775

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 25-27, 39-41, 55, 56, 70, and 71, drawn to a coating composition and a method of making a coated substrate, classified in class 106, subclass 1.05+ and class 427, subclass 372.2+.
- II. Claims 12-24, 28-38, 42-54, and 57-69, drawn to a coated substrate having zinc alloy flake containing coating, classified in class 428, subclass 658.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by an alternative process that entails laminating an already cured film on a substrate to obtain the claimed article.
- 4. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a composition that can be used as a paint additive for

Art Unit: 1775

providing aesthetic zinc coloration and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the groups is not required for each of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicant's claimed compositions, methods, and articles pertain to various materials in combination with the claimed zinc flake composition, namely, silane binding agent, hexavalent chromium providing substance, titanate polymer, and silica substance.
- 8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-11 are generic.

Art Unit: 1775

- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. A telephone call was made to Mr. Gertz on 2 June 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1775

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## CONCLUSION

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (703) 308-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.
- 17. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. wille

Michael La Villa June 2, 2003